
## Draft Level III and IV Ecoregions of South Dakota



Ecoregions denote areas of general similarity in ecosystems and in the type, quality, and quantity of environmental resources; they are designed to serve as a spatial framework for the research, assessment, management, and monitoring of ecosystems and ecosystem components. Ecoregions are directly applicable to the immediate needs of state agencies including the development of biological criteria and water quality standards and the establishment of management goals for nonpoint-source pollution. They are also relevant to integrated ecosystem management, an ultimate goal of most federal and state resource management agencies.

The approach used to compile this map is based on the premise that ecological regions can be identified through the analysis of the patterns and the composition of biotic and abiotic phenomena that affect or reflect differences in ecosystem quality and integrity (Wiken 1986; Omernik 1987, 1995). These phenomena include geology, physiography, vegetation, climate, soils, land use, wildlife, and hydrology. The relative importance of each characteristic varies from one ecological region to another regardless of the hierarchical level. A Roman numeral hierarchical scheme has been adopted for different levels of ecological regions. Level I is the coarsest level, dividing North America into 15 ecological regions, with level II dividing the continent into 51 regions. At level III, the continental United States contains 98 regions (United States Environmental Protection Agency [US EPA], 1996). Level IV is a further subdivision of level III ecoregions. Explanations of the methods used to define the US EPA's ecoregions are given in Omernik (1995), Griffith et al. (1994), and Gallant et al. (1989).

revisions and subdivisions of earlier level III ecoregions that were originally compiled at a smaller scale (US EPA 1996; Omernik 1987). The map is part of a collaborative project primarily between the US EPA Region VIII, the US EPA National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory - Corvallis, Oregon, South Dakota State Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences - South Dakota State University, the United States Department of Agriculture - Forest Service (USFS), the United States Department of Agriculture -Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) (formerly the Soil Conservation Service), and the United States Department of the Interior - U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) - Earth Resources Observation Systems (EROS).

This project is associated with an interagency effort to develop a common framework of ecological regions. Reaching that objective requires recognition of the differences in the conceptual approaches and mapping methodologies that have been used to develop the most commonly used existing ecoregion-type frameworks, including those developed by the USFS (Bailey et al. 1994), the US EPA (Omernik 1987, 1995), and the NRCS (United States Department of Agriculture - Soil Conservation Service, 1981). As each of these frameworks is further developed, the differences between them are becoming less. Regional collaborative projects such as this one in South Dakota, where agreement can be reached among multiple resource management agencies, is a step in the direction of attaining commonality and consistency in ecoregion frameworks for the entire nation.

This level III and IV ecoregion map was compiled at a 1:250,000-scale; it depicts

## Literature Cited:

Bailey, R.G., P.E. Avers, T. King, and W.H. McNab (eds.). 1994. Ecoregions and subregions of the United States (map). Scale 1:7,500,000. Supplementary table of map unit descriptions compiled and edited by W.H. McNab and R.G. Bailey. U.S. Department of Agriculture - Forest Service, Washington, D.C.

Gallant, A.L., T.R. Whittier, D.P. Larsen, J.M. Omernik, and R.M. Hughes. 1989. Regionalization as a tool for managing environmental resources. EPA/600/3-89/060. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon. 152 pp.

Griffith, G.E, J.M. Omernik, T.F. Wilton, and S.M. Pierson. 1994. Ecoregions and subregions of Iowa: A framework for water quality assessment and management. The Journal of the Iowa Academy of Science. 101(1):5-13.

Omernik, J.M. 1987. Ecoregions of the conterminous United States. Map Supplement. Scale 1:7,500,000. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 77(1):118-125.

Omernik, J.M. 1995. Ecoregions: A framework for environmental management. Pages 49-62 in: Biological Assessment and Criteria: Tools for Water Resource Planning and Decision Making. W. Davis and T. Simon (eds.). Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.

U.S. Department of Agriculture - Soil Conservation Service. 1981. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource areas of the United States. Agriculture Handbook 296. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 156 pp.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Level III ecoregions of the continental United States (map). Map M-1 (revision of Omernik, 1987). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon.

Wiken, E. 1986. Terrestrial ecozones of Canada. Environment Canada. Ecological Land Classification Series No. 19. Ottawa, Canada. 26 pp.

